

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,392	07/29/2003	Joanne Hunt	84594MSS 6507	
7:	590 10/26/2005		EXAM	INER
Patent Legal Staff			CAMERON, ERMA C	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1762	
	·		DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,392	HUNT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erma Cameron	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,14 and 19 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 4-13, 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the content of the content	vithdrawn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the E					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 2 -3 and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking
 claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/3/2005.
 - Claim 14 has not been rejoined into the application.
- Claim 14 has the status identifier of (original) in the list of claims in the 9/12/2005 amendment. It should have the status identifier of (withdrawn). Claim 14 has not been examined.

New claim 19 is dependent on withdrawn claim 2, and will not be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 18: it is not clear if "metal" modifies only carbonates, or both carbonates and bicarbonates.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,392

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 1060901.

'901 teaches forming a porous base layer for an inkjet recording element by applying a hydrophilic polymer such as gelatin or PVA plus blowing agent to a support (4:4-37).

The applicant has argued that the base layer is a sponge layer for absorbing ink and not an ink-receiving layer. However, it is the examiner's position that the base layer, by absorbing ink, is acting as an ink-receiving layer.

- 6. The rejection of Claims 1, 4 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Aono (5128313) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/12/2005.
- 7. The rejection of Claims 1, 4, 6-7 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DeBoer et al (6299302) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/12/2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,392 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 5-12 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1060901.

'901 is applied here for the reasons given above.

'901 fails to teach the wt % of blowing agent, the presence of surfactants, plural simultaneous coatings or foaming by heat.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized the wt% of the composition thru no more than routine experimentation.

Applying a plurality of coating solutions is a mere variation on typical coating practices.

'901 teaches that additives that are well know in the art may be added (4:36-37). This would be inclusive of surfactants.

Foaming by heating blowing agents is well known in the art.

'901 fails to teach the blowing agents of claim 18. However, it is the examiner's position that any conventional blowing agents, such as those of claim 18, would be operational in the '901 process.

The applicant has argued that '901 teaches that the base layer does not have to be porous. However, [0022] teaches that the base layer may be a porous structure, and may be made so by

Application/Control Number: 10/629,392

Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

foaming or blowing during coating. It is the examiner's position '901 sufficiently teaches that the base layer may be porous.

- 10. The rejection of Claims 5-12 and 16-17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aono (5128313) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/12/2005.
- 11. The rejection of Claims 5, 8-12 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aono (6299302) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/12/2005.
- 12. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1060901 taken in view of KR 2000-0063640.

'901 fail to teach adding an acid to react with the blowing agent.

'640 teaches adding an acid that decomposes a foaming agent as a process to foam a PVA solution (see pages 7-9 of translation).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the acid foaming process of '640 in the '901 process because '640 teaches that this is a conventional means of foaming a PVA solution.

-- -----

Application/Control Number: 10/629,392 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,392

Art Unit: 1762

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 24, 2005

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762